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Cont. Room 'n About
Rumour has it that the reason Blair
Peach's murderer has not been found is
because a large number of off-duty
police men turned up in uniform to assist
the National Front, or the police barrier
around them, at the Southhall demona-
tion. Police say among these reports
that the man who struck S.M.P. protestor
Blair Peach was one of the 'Rhodesia
clique'. The murderer and someone who
may have been implicated but did not
actually strike the blow was asked to
resign and advised to go abroad until
'it blows over'.
Was it the 'Rhodesia clique' also
that provided the accomplices after the
fact of murder who advised the killer
and his friend to go? We do not know.
The police officer from whom we obtained
the information died up at this moment.
But not before, saying, in disclaiming any
responsibility of the regular police,
that 'it's the same mob that did for
Jeremy Thorpe and your lot' - presumably
the 'Persons Unknown'.
On a matter of ethics: should we give
the two names we have in our possession?

The famous pyramid poster by
Flavio Constantini; Multi-
coloured; for sale through
Black Flag. Cheap at £1 +p&p.
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On a matter of ethics: should we give
the two names we have in our possession?

We have just witnessed the first of the
political show trials of the 80s. There
will certainly be others—and what propor-
tion of them will be before friendly-
sided joints? Before any kind of jury?
With anti-fascist becoming the rallying cry of the extremists of the
city and the centre, the state is creating
an ugly balance to the unifying esti-
mation of the left. Its methods are
either different, however. Such is its
tactics that it can fly, conceiving of
nothing has happened.

Crime is manufactured from the
phantoms and prejudices of the police
and judiciary and attributed to anyone
who seems politically appropriate. A few
states grope and a jury 'unanimously'
select-
ted from a controlled list and the con-
pounding jury can begin. 'We're not for
the torture of the police (apologies
to those in the dock who won certain
have (a few close nights)' as
anarchist believes in the end of society
as we know it, X is an anarchist. The
jury only has to complete the syllogism
from them and, cheer the sleight-of-
hand of

Ronan Bennett, the prime target of
police and security officials in the
'Second Unknown' trial, was the most
valuable and likely of victims. Having
spent 18 months in Long Kesh, Bennett
was finally acquitted on appeal for the
murder of a British policeman. Knowing
he would have a short life span if he
were to remain in Northern Ireland
with the UDA's offer to kill him, he was
obliged to leave home and move to
England.

Like a growing number of other Irish
prisoners, Bennett had become interested
in anarchism while in his British con-
centration camp and had been corres-
ponding with his Mills of the Anarchist
Black Cross. Having established a close
friendship with Mills, Bennett went to
live with her and her husband, Graham
Rus, in Huddersfield. Anarchist
Involvement with the
Anarchist Black Cross, the
local police, after instruction from
London, kept the Huddersfield address
under close surveillance. Convicted with
the dismayingly-vision of the Irish
situation spilling over to infect the heart
of the nation, with the British and
European anarchist movements con-
taining forces with the most radical sec-
tions of the Irish republican movement
—particularly the Irish National Libera-
tion Army with whom they believed
Bennett to have very close contacts—the
police moved in and arrested everyone
living in the Huddersfield house. They
also raided the homes of all known
anarchists in the area. Bennett and Mills
were both charged under the all-purpose
'Prevention of Terrorism Act'.

Self-confessed individuals
Unable to produce any evidence to
satisfy the court that Bennett was a
danger to society, or that he should be
sent back to Northern Ireland (other
than the fact that he was Irish and, like
a good part of the population in North-
ern Ireland had anti-establishment
politics) Bennett was released (freed
completely into the unemployment and
crime of the police who engineered the
street. Was he an anarchist? Had
not he and Mills admitted it? Later, all
the papers, including the supposedly
independent Guardians, were to use the
case to promote anti-fascist
campaigns. Having given quite clear
Bennett they believed his earlier acquittal on the
murder charge to have been a travesty of
justice, the police told him that one
way or another they were going to 'get
him'. Next time the case against him
would be prepared with more attention to
detail.
The case against Bennett, Mills and the other defendants in the 'Persons Unknown' trial was co-ordinated and
planned by Scotland Yard's chief
anarchist-spy Inspector Roy Connolly,
whose letter in the Anglo-Militant
Ecology found a close analogy to the
police and security officials in the
'Second Unknown' trial, was the most
valuable and likely of victims. Having
spent 18 months in Long Kesh, Bennett
was finally acquitted on appeal for the
murder of a British policeman. Knowing
he would have a short life span if he
were to remain in Northern Ireland
with the UDA's offer to kill him, he was
obliged to leave home and move to
England.

Like a growing number of other Irish
prisoners, Bennett had become interested
in anarchism while in his British con-
centration camp and had been corres-
pponding with his Mills of the Anarchist
Black Cross. Having established a close
friendship with Mills, Bennett went to
live with her and her husband, Graham
Rus, in Huddersfield.
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Another terrorist group had struck a
uniquely violent blow for women's lib-
eration a few days earlier. Calling them-
selves the Contraudas Organized for
Feminist Counterpower, a group of women
in firebombed cinemas and the offices of a
lawyer who, they said, had helped
al-
leged rapists. The militants claimed they
were protesting rape and sexual exploi-
tation. Two hard-core pornography the-
aters in Rome were burned, and one
woman was almost totally destroyed. The
bitter, unknown organization distributed a
communiqué denouncing the oppression
of Italian women, declaring. 'We have
always used violence as an instrument
for defense. Now we transform it into
an instrument for liberation.'
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Have you seen the writing on the wall?

Cienfuegos Press

There is one thing more terrifying than the desire to command, and that is the will to obey.

It's never too late to subscribe!

Cienfuegos Press

This article was written for both Time Out and Black Flag by Stuart Christie.
The Congress took three days to make up its mind about agenda, how decisions should be agreed. It eventually arrived at was quite good and hopefully it will be borne in mind at the next Congress. There was no cut out the argy-bargy. Problems I encountered? The noise! The microphones so distant that my hearing preferred to shut up and watch it on closed-circuit TV. Most amusing thing I encountered was being asked to explain to an English lady with a huge dog, who was determined to get through the security, that she really wanted the place opposite. When she finally understood that these were the dreaded anarchists her husband pulled her away in alarm and they scuttled into the Crystal Palace. Some Belfast comrades made a video-tape of the proceedings, with commentaries more or less in English, some with subtitles, in which everyone wanted to get into the act — it will be interesting to see how it comes out.
The Telegraph man in Peking reported (Daily Telegraph 23 Nov) on ANARGHY 'Threat to China'. "Anarchists who work discipline if their demands were not met. Some were 'demanding a freedom of the majority' ".

Ordinary individualism was threatening China's modernisation. The paper attacked people who upset stability and unity for selfish reasons. Some were asking the Government 'to meet their insatiable quest for personal gain' and were ignoring work discipline if their demands were not met. Some were 'demanding a freedom that would cut into democratic rights of the majority' .

The English daily *Daily Telegraph* reported (23 Nov) that a "threat to China", "extreme individualism", was threatening China's modernisation. The paper attacked people who upset stability and unity for selfish reasons. Some were asking the Government to meet their insatiable quest for personal gain and were ignoring work discipline if their demands were not met. Some were demanding a freedom that would cut into democratic rights of the majority. Sounds like the home life of Mrs Thatcher's Britain. And no mistaking that the Workers Daily was using the word 'anarchist' correctly enough, equating the factory militants with anarchism but quite clear that they were individualistic.

The West German State planned to use the old Nazi law of 1933 called 'security detention' to dealt with 'national-socialist criminals'. Security detention would allow the State to detain political prisoners in prison or psychiatric institutions for life without a trial. The only prerequisite necessary would be the prisoner be deemed 'dangerous' through a psychiatric examination.

At present the German State is desperate to destroy the political struggle and identity of the political prisoners before their message becomes popular. It does not at present have the legal means to hold prisoners for terms longer than the maximum sentence for a given 'crime', or the legal means to psychologically attack the minds of the prisoners, and to serialise the writing or publishing of LITERATURE which can be defended by the political context of the militants' work.

The State has attempted to destroy the political context of the militants' trials by intimidating and outlawing the defence lawyers who support the hunger strikes of the prisoners, giving unfavourable interviews to the press or who attempt to politicise the defense. On Dec 18/74, the German Parliament passed the 'Baader-Meinhof Law' which allows a trial to continue in the case where the accused are incapable of appearing and allows the defense lawyers to be prohibited if they are suspected of supporting a criminal association, or of endangering State Security. The State has attempted to squash ideological support of the guerrillas through laws such as par. 129 88a which criminalises the distribution, importing, exporting or publishing of LITERATURE which can be described by the courts as 'endangering State Security'.

The State has utilised mass press campaigns to personalise and depict the urban guerrillas as cultish, authoritarian groups i.e. the 'Baader-Meinhof gang' and the 'Haag-Mayer gang'. However the State has failed. The political prisoners have continued their fight inside the jails using only the weapon they have left, their bodies, engaging in hunger and thirst strikes to protest their torturous prison conditions. While outside the prisoners militant activity continues. The idea of applying security detention is not new, after the kidnapping of Schleyer, the Supreme Court made a 'fundamental judgement' or addition to the 1933 law. Before applying security detention, the prisoner must undergo a psychiatric examination. Although this law have not been used since 1945, preparations for its use were under way.

On Jan 23/79, a Judge told 5 members of the June 2nd Movement, in the course of their trial, that in the case that they were not condemned for life, but only prison terms of 3 years, security detention would be applied. A request to apply security detention had been under way during the first state of Haag's trial.

Both the June 2nd Movement and Haag's trial are considered by the Courts as 'test' trials. The West German State is very sensitive about the possible international outcry that could arise from the application of an old Nazi law. Therefore it wants to test security detention before systematically applying it to all political prisoners. As much as in 1972, when the W. German press has been preparing the public mind at home for the implementation of security detention through media reports that paint the guerrillas as criminals and crazies.

There are 2 major pre-conditions that must be met before security detention can be applied: 1) The prisoner must have been found guilty of at least 3 major crimes, or sentenced to at least 3 years. 2) the prisoner must have a character/personality that presents a 'public danger'. The necessary pre-condition for qualifying a prisoner as 'dangerous to the public' is a psychiatric examination.

The State has utilised mass press campaigns to personalise and depict the urban guerrillas as cultish, authoritarian groups i.e. the 'Baader-Meinhof gang' and the 'Haag-Mayer gang'. However the State has failed. The political prisoners have continued their fight inside the jails using only the weapon they have left, their bodies, engaging in hunger and thirst strikes to protest their torturous prison conditions. While outside the prisons militant activity continues. The idea of applying security detention is not new, after the kidnapping of Schleyer, the Supreme Court made a 'fundamental judgement' or addition to the 1933 law. Before applying security detention, the prisoner must undergo a psychiatric examination. Although this law have not been used since 1945, preparations for its use were under way.
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On Jan 23/79, a Judge told 5 members of the June 2nd Movement, in the course of their trial, that in the case that they were not condemned for life, but only prison terms of 3 years, security detention would be applied. A request to apply security detention had been under way during the first state of Haag's trial.

Both the June 2nd Movement and Haag's trial are considered by the Courts as 'test' trials. The West German State is very sensitive about the possible international outcry that could arise from the application of an old Nazi law. Therefore it wants to test security detention before systematically applying it to all political prisoners. As much as in 1972, when the W. German press has been preparing the public mind at home for the implementation of security detention through media reports that paint the guerrillas as criminals and crazies.

There are 2 major pre-conditions that must be met before security detention can be applied: 1) The prisoner must have been found guilty of at least 3 major crimes, or sentenced to at least 3 years. 2) the prisoner must have a character/personality that presents a 'public danger'. The necessary pre-condition for qualifying a prisoner as 'dangerous to the public' is a psychiatric examination.
Siegfried Haag's trial is clearly a preparation for the implementation of security detention. His trial is divided into 2 parts so that the Court can find him guilty of as many charges as possible. In the first part of his trial, Haag was found guilty of stealing a car, possessing illegal arms, and terrorist association. Haag denied all these charges, not only because there is no material evidence but also because the Court would not permit him to defend his guilty of the many accusations possible. Political declarations in the course of a trial should be avoided as well as lengthy trials, to prevent any external mobilisation against prison conditions.

Clearly, preparations for security detention are underway. The implication is that all political prisoners are grave if security detention is applied. Firstly, security detention will allow the German State to detain a prisoner for life without a trial. The Court will not have to convict political prisoners of a major crime. Life sentences have been difficult to obtain in the past due to insufficient material evidence. However, with security detention, once a prisoner has been convicted of some lesser crime, e.g. theft and possession of illegal arms, he will be subjected to a psychiatric examination, labelled 'a public danger,' and detained behind bars for life.

Secondly, security detention can be used as a justification for compulsory psychiatric treatment. Once the prisoner has been deemed 'mentally deranged,' he can be legally institutionalised in a psychiatric ward and be subjected to 'treatment.' And thirdly, security detention will act as a deterrent to any sort of political opposition to the 'democratic constitutional order.' The reality that political prisoners will be subjected to mind-altering psychiatric treatment will certainly frighten off many possible supporters of militants, but also political opposition as well.

The implications of security detention are that any person or group that dares to resist the system are 'mentally deranged' and thus constitute a 'public danger' that must be detained and psychiatrically "treated." It is extremely important that the implementation of this nazi law be denounced because it will legalise life imprisonment and forced psychiatric treatment without a trial; the legal tools, necessary for the annihilation of all political opposition to the West German State. There is also a well-founded fear that without international protest, the West German State could pull out the whole arsenal of nazi laws from the closet.

The current education system is absolutely obsessed with the whole concept of assemblies, dinner-times, etc. It is ludicrous. In this article I intend to explain the inherent faults of the authoritarian education system and suggest feasible alternatives for a libertarian society.

1. School-Teachers

It is a fact that 40% of teachers are maladjusted. Unofficially that figure should be about 20% higher, and from my own experiences I would put it even higher. The vast majority of teachers couldn't care less what happened to the kids. Before you realise just who and what you are, you are shoved into the infants school. That is, you are forced to conform to the normalising and depersonalising effects of state categorisation. Even at this early stage our good tutors take the register; that is, every morning and every afternoon your name is given a / if you are there or an O if you are not. As you grow older this takes place every lesson. This is how they teach us trust and fidelity.

How anyone can sit silent for two hours and listen to some middle-aged cretin drone on about the boring antics of previous royal families is beyond me. They're interested in you as an individual - you're in a group, you're all the same and you're here to "work" full stop. You don't learn at school, remember - you're just here to work for the next forty odd years of your miserable existence.

School-teaching is an easy vocation: long holidays, good money. But confront any teacher with this issue and they will predictably deny it. We have to mark books all night, they plead. We have to control dinner queues, they whine. One teacher I knew complained about the number of books he had to mark each night - he was too thick to realise that this was a natural consequence of giving us homework every lesson.

Teachers are condescending, discriminating and hypocritical. Any task they find too difficult for their overworked brain they grab the nearest 'pupil' - a kind of educational proletarian - and tell him to do it. Any variation in the school uniform is frowned upon, and they are especially discriminating towards males who practice this horrifying act of heresy.

2. School Uniform

School uniform is not unlike the uniforms Chinese workers have to wear. It is one of the most degrading and depersonalising weapons of the state education system. No individuality is allowed. It is rather like being prisoners of war.

Their pathetic excuses are: a) so that everybody will be smart, tidy and equal b) so good citizens will know what school we come from when we do a good deed c) so that poorer children will not be shown up or embarrassed by their rags and the one which they will laugh off d) so that we cannot play truant as we would be recognised. As far as embarrassing poorer kids, well you can tell the difference anyway and what difference does it make? Teachers naturally discriminate against the poor children as well. School uniform has never made anybody work harder, but it sure does make you feel unimportant.

I think kids would be pleased to wear their own clothes, to show signs of individuality, to let people know that there's a person in there. All too often a child's personality is erased there, a role, an opinion. If anyone is going to be shown up it will be the middle-class morons in their sheepish corduroys — you know, the kind who like Dire Straits and Supertramp. Undoubtedly uniform does more harm than good, and it does not do anything beneficial to the child. A child wearing his own clothes is expressing himself because there is variety. There will never be expression in a thousand people wearing the same raincoats. The fact that the authoritarian society now decides what we can and cannot wear, what is and what isn't morally acceptable, dictates what is and what isn't socially/sexually respectable/acceptable - these infringements of basic human rights are disgusting. How the population doesn't realise these
4: CORPORAL PUNISHMENT

Invariably at every school it is not the headmaster who punishes children but the deputant who punishes them. At his late forties, a bead/Dickensian sideboards, is incredibly hip and usually has an affair with the English teacher of a school sector of which anywhere in the school can give you details.

Corporal punishment is of course a major issue which could quite easily take up a whole book but I will try and say what I want to here. I am totally opposed to corporal punishment in schools and I was against it from the very first day I was told that a new teacher hitting another child. It abounds in England and Ireland and the rest of the U.K., but in most European countries corporal punishment is illegal. Here teachers revel in sadistically harming other kids. This is what authoritarian discipline is. If a child is forced to do something and refuses then he is hit. Depending on the socio-economic group, the child will grow up and teach his children in the same manner. This is one of the primary causes of unawareness.

I have been given the strap for smiling. That is not a lie or an exaggeration. I have been slapped across the face about fifty times in the course of a single day. They didn't apologise when they found out it wasn't me. I have been humiliated, degraded and insulted because of what I am. This is the great Education system comrades. The whole room (it is rather surprising that it none the less could remain intact) is silent and sitting on hard chairs and answering some questions. I am a cute, polite, conscientious and about as nourishing as a pile of shit - the money wasted on these meals could be spent much more constructively, i.e. to the Third World or a new typesetter for Cienfuegos Press. I am a vegetarian is someone who lives on Venus. No matter what they are serving you get gravy with it. Gravy, with eggs, gravy with fish, gravy with curry. It's not even gravy - it's just a watery light brown substance one would normally associate with being undernourished. Eventually I gave up and kept my money and starred every dinner-time - it's much tastier too. Pufliehmefit d088 fl0t S01v6 anything, it doesn't provide much of a role model for children, anyway.

5: SUBJECT MATTER

The subjects studied at school are presented in such a boring way as to neutralise the enjoyment of learning.

The boring Tutor teaches a boring subject to a boring bored class.

Mathematics and English are predictably compulsory until sixth form, although many people do them as A levels anyway. Important subjects, yes, but do we really need to know about the quadratic equations or write about what we did in the summer holidays? Of course not. We should be taught the essentials, the relevant information which would help us in our lives. We should be able to communicate with one another. Many other subjects are superfluous - Design, Horticultural Science, Environmental Studies - all these should be optional. There should be more scope in Literature. How anyone can enjoy a given book, read it under classroom conditions and say they enjoy it I don't know. In subjects such as History children should be taught real history - not according to these piss-artists they call politicians but an anarchist/Libertarian History, history of past revolutions, famous figures good or bad - Bakunin, Lenin, Goldman, Marx, Zapata, Castro, Trotsky, Makhno, Mao, Guevara and the roles these people played. I find this more interesting than irrelevant crap like Crompton's 'Rule'. So Abraham Darby built an iron bridge didn't he? Big deal.

There's a lot of possibilities, but they just don't investigate. They don't tell us about these major revolutionary figures - people might get ideas. We should be taught World History. England has little or no history of a major revolution because the majority of the population are apathetic and conservative. They want to be taught World History. Thus the history of the world's people is not taught. Sobel is not a tougher to prove. I'm going to be there to prove they are a bunch of lethargic pricks. They understand that education should teach people the spirit of revolution in them - here they accept everything. Then they wonder why nothing changes.

6: EXAMINATIONS AND REPORTS

The present system of society is basically: school-qualifications - job-replacement. What kind of job you get is defined by the number of qualifications you pass. Whatever, the idea is that you should have enough for your career for the next forty or so years. How pathetic. Here is your life. Now work. If you don't accept the system you are considered an idiot. You are pronounced irrelevant at. Pathetic. Man was not made to inflict this kind of thing on himself, but there are those who insist. If I'm going to spend the rest of my life travelling to some massive city and working nine till five then they can shove it. They set you free when you're too old to do anything with it. They do much more good and bring out resistance to this hierarchy. One day, all this will be changed and I'm sure something is going to happen in the next twenty years or less. How.

The present system of examination is silly. They seem to be designed to prove that our society is working. They regard qualifications as much more important than love and understanding) sitting on hard chairs and answering some irrelevant garbage (the whole system is divorced from love and understanding) for three hours stretches so incredibly dehumanising and exhausting. You are subjected to tests so苛刻 for one three hour exam. What a farce.

People really rely on 0 levels. 0 levels are more important than human life. You can have a load of 0 levels. If you haven't got 0 levels you're thick full stop. You're not an academic guy, we realise that, yes, we understand that you can't get problems any way. They talk a load of bullshit. I despise the majority of academics, intellectuals and students. Their ego's badly need deflating. They're condescending, arrogant and boring, reactionary. They talk of everything so matter of factly, just like it was processed food. Utter scum.

They regard qualifications as much more important than love or freedom. This is the system. It is sick. Libertarians and freethinkers are stumped on by Authority.
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