REPORT FROM SYCILY

The proposed missile base at Grottaglie in the R.C. has become the focus of the peace movement and the activities of the police in the South of Italy. The situation is tense and the presence of the police and the army is increasing.

The People's Movement for the Liberation of the South is organizing a week-long strike to protest against the construction of the base. The movement is calling on the workers to join the strike and to participate in the occupation of the base.

The situation is reported to be tense and the police are using tear gas and water cannon to disperse the protesters. The movement is demanding the immediate closure of the base and the withdrawal of the US military forces from Italian soil.

The movement is also calling for international solidarity and is organizing a series of demonstrations and protests in other countries. The mobilization is expected to continue and the situation is likely to escalate.

The people of the South are determined to fight against the base and the occupation of their land.

LITERATURE OF TERROR

Squatting is a method used by anarchists and -terrorists to占地on and the attack on the authority of the state. The attacks are aimed at weakening the power of the state and creating conditions for social change.

The squatters' movement in Italy has been active for many years and has gained strength in recent years. The movement is based on the idea of taking control of the means of production and redistributing them to the workers.

The movement has been involved in a number of conflicts with the police and the state authorities. The squatters' movement is seen as a threat to the stability of the state and the social order.

The squatters' movement has inspired many other movements around the world and has become a symbol of resistance against the state.

The squatters' movement is an example of how a small group of people can organize and mobilize to achieve their goals. The movement has shown that it is possible to challenge the state and to create a more just and equitable society.

The squatters' movement is an inspiration to all those who believe in the power of the people and the need for social change. The movement is a reminder that resistance is necessary to overcome oppression and to create a better world.
Tunisia

INTERVIEW WITH A TUNISIAN LIBERTARIAN

Q: How did you come to embrace anarchist ideas? Did your parents have any influence on you?

A: As a child I belonged to a socialist movement back in France. I would propagate the leftist banner. I would not be left in the way.

Q: And then, gradually, later on, you left
to embrace Trotskyists,tskyists, anyone who looked influential back, until that year. From 1973-

Q: Was he a Tunisian himself?

A: No he was French, a worker who belonged to a

Q: And then, gradually, at national level in 1966-

Q: In that organisation, the members are actually paid.

Q: You mean Tunisian anarcho-syndicalists?

Q: We were able to get hold of some lit-

Q: The Greek Cypriot has to get in. That left myself and some others

Q: It did not accord with what I wanted, nor with
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INTRODUCTION

I had to go to Cyprus to see for myself what life is like on the island my parents came from. Born and brought up in working class London I had to try for myself life on the island that thousands of Cyriphists in the pre-1974 era were heard to say was as near as possible a living example of the so-called "Third Way" to a truly worker-controlled society. It is true that the country is inter-communal cooperation, for social revolution pure and simple, nothing else can stop the war which rapidly approaches.

The main opposition party, the Democratic Party is full of bureaucrats and fascists/commmunist. Most people, even over 20,000 people, insist support a past called the Minimum Program has been dropped in 1972, cooperation of the state functionaries. This system party (like the Christian Democrats in Italy), represents the bureaucratic, middle-class, apolitical, socialist camp, and are not progressive. Worse than the SLP.

The main opposition party, the Democratic Rally Party, is the haven for fascist gangsters. It is one of the most orthodox DS of members of EOKA. The party is supported by that of the traditional DS of the party, the Fascist Party, the party that is called the fascist party of the fascist party. It represents the fascist line which rules the roost here, and than

As a rule they were students for the reasons that they were not interested in the Marxist or socialist thought generally. There were other people who were involved. To begin with, Mao wrote about "new democracy", and said that there was an "epochal crisis of socialist democracy". So Mao's line about what he used to term the "new democracy" is quite something. The CP had been pro-colonialist

But we get them to bring us back books. We are illiterate. This is another problem the

To begin with, Mao wrote about "new democracy" and said that the revolution in what he calls the "per-

At present I count myself as a socialist, with obvious pitfalls:

In the future I am going to start a second front and will defeat Turkey. The Greek Cypriot is shot by a mortal shot by the Greek Cypriot and the Turkish Cypriot is shot by the Greek Cypriot. This is a very intricate problem. The two groups of the Cypriot people are in a very bad state.
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NEWMAN'S TROOPS

On Monday November 1 there was a riot on Britain's front-line. It was just three days after Newman declared the end of the 'Front-Line', his new job as Police Commis- sioner of London's Metropolitan Police. Newman has been a specialist police establishment for serving his entire career, from the riots in Notting Hill, where he became an anti-mugging and anti-crime specialist, to the recent anti-terrorist operations in the United Kingdom.

The riot took place in the Brixton area of London, where there had been a high concentration of police activity in the past week. Police forces had been deployed in large numbers, and there were reports of violence and disturbances in the area. The police had been involved in a number of incidents, including the shooting of a man in the street.

Another point of contention was the police use of dogs during the riot. There were reports of police officers using dogs to attack rioters, and of dogs attacking police officers as well. The police had been accused of using excessive force, and there were calls for an independent investigation into the matter.

The riot was a surprise to many in the area, as there had been no prior warning of a planned protest. The police had not been able to gather sufficient intelligence to predict the scale of the riot, and there were reports of police officers being caught off-guard.

Despite the violence, there were also reports of peaceful protests in the area, with some groups of people marching together in solidarity with the rioters. There were also reports of police officers trying to mediate between the two sides.

The police had been criticized for their handling of the riot, with some arguing that they had been too quick to use force, and that they should have allowed the protesters to speak their minds.

The riot was a significant event in the history of the police in London, and it highlighted the challenges facing the police in a time of increasing social unrest.

WHY POLICE RAID ANARCHISTS

The doctrine of ANARCHISM has been propagated without legal legitimacy for 150 years in Britain. There are countries where constitutional democracy has been replaced, where a police state exists, and where it is illegal to accept petitions, postcards, newspapers, and other publications.

Anarchists object to the dictatorship, and the police are among the last refuges of the State. They are, in fact, an organized force of reaction.

The police are in a constant state of preparation for a state of war, and they are armed with the most advanced military technology. They are trained to use violence, and they are not afraid to use it.

The police are often described as a "specialist police establishment" or a "specialist police force". This is because they are trained to use violence, and they are not afraid to use it.
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To gobbled on about all that crap of the various (transitional) stages of bazaar Marxism determinism - is to douse the flame of social revolution with hopelessness.

MUST the IRA revolutionary be sacrificed to a struggle of national liberation against the imperial power of Britain? Who determines that? Of course, we consider ourselves Palestinians first and human beings second? Why the Israeli? And British nationalism and concepts and nothing to do with the global class struggle. These concepts have served the ruling class well in recasting class antagonisms into national ones. They are even ex- cused as a revolutionary task of abolishing class society, as the inevitable process of history by the followers of Marx. How much longer must the Irish suffer from May 1966 and other philosophers of the Box Drum! Do not follow no flags - do not follow no national liberation, as far as anarchists are concerned, are non-strainers.

Dear Comrades,

I wholeheartedly agree that Begin's nationalism is no different from Arafat's. Begin began in a 'terrorist' movement moral bigots in government and in Fleet Street just like Al Fatah and Islamic Fronts because it is Socialist, and the IRA is the ‘purist' (whatever that is) to oppose a revolutionary movement because it is anti-Statist. And if there are many way that the IRA revolutionary are more dangerous.

As an enemy declares itself to be so, by word or deed, by the very nature of its structure and organisation: what is the Irish Nationalists doing in the shell of the old, then it is not clearly the way.

As an enemy - at least, as someone who declares himself to be so, if not by more than that, out of isolation, circumstances and frustration - I can understand that, when the IRA carries out offensive operations, both here and over the border, to highlight the hypocrisy of the moral bigotry in government and in Fleet Street to condemn this as 'terrorism'. Yes, even when they hit nearby their target but bystanders, also! How many bystanders, innocent and other victims are killed, wounded by the State? But then, offensive acts carried out by the State are acts of 'counter-terrorist'. Only those acts perpetrated by illegal or 'undetermined' organisations are acts of 'terrorism'. And only those perpetrated by individuals are 'criminal'.

However, it occurs to me that herein lies the problem: why, for us both, such as 'Nationalist Anarchist', to give their support to the IRA organisations, in the fact that the value of the 'terrorist' flag they wave for themselves is no better than that of the 'extra-Statist' if you will. Did she (as I regret to inform you that I did) actively support the Nationalist Anarchist - she was NLF on Grosvenor Square demo? (The NLF was engaged in an anti-imperialist struggle against the American occupation.) The NLF have come to power. If she gave her support then, does she give her support now? If not, are her old slogans re-hashed - 'Victory to NLF!' becomes 'Victory to IRA!' The only difference that we can see of what winning it when, why should peoples be for it when it loses? When Socialists (and anarchists) oppose the State - because opposite Nationalism, in Ireland or anywhere else, they are only serving to mystify and reinforce the State. To be a counter-revolutionary class-traitor?

The losers - in the Falls and the Shankill Road - are the workers, probos and lumpen aile, conned by the State, interpretation of history, fed on a flag-waving frenzy of jingoism.

To the enemy on about all that crap of the various (transitional) stages of bazaar Marxism determinism - is to douse the flame of social revolution with hopelessness.

MUST the IRA revolutionary be sacrificed to a struggle of national liberation against the imperial power of Britain (the bombing of the King David Hotel et al) just like Al Fatah and Islamic Fronts? Is it not anti-Statist? It is not to be
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...strong gathering as "trots ha ha" ...so-called, Right Wing Members of Parliament, these expelled have refuge in their very own party, the Social Democratic Party — a party of MPs looking for an electorate — since, totally devoid of principle, they know that if they fight another election and lose, they will get a pension; and if how to the binary principle on which they were elected, of selection by committee, and stand down, they will forego the pension. The Labour Party has had a healthy purging of these elements; to the detriment of the Young Liberals, sold down the river for journalistic acclaim, who were to the left in any normal sense, of the social-democrats, the Labour Party and the tapeworms in particular.

...is meant by Left and Right, anyway? There are three meanings: one class, one ideological, one deliberate. Originated from left implied working class — the farther right, the nearer the monarchy, the more aristocratic; the farther the more working class until the extreme of poverty was reached. There is another meaning, popular among journalists; the touchstones seem to be the attitude to Russia: e.g. in Lebanon, the rich, feudal, unpro- gressive and illiberal Muslims are described as Left because they had Russian support for what it is worth; the rich, feudal, unprogressive and illiberal Christians are Right because they are in the American camp, more or less, when it suits it. The remainder of the Bill deals "with provisions for the prevention of the misuse of this power. Sections 3.3 and 4.3 record anytime up to 3 months: this again is something that is not possible under previous law under which a Constable has powers to enter premises without a warrant. But the proposals alongside the statutory recognition of the power to a bunch of kegs — and items for use in burglaries/theft (glasscutter, pliers, etc.).

The tapeworms, by their strength only for Trotsky, literally to withdraw from the struggle, importing the entire Party to England so as to build a government there, seeing in the Labour Party, "once rid of Macdonald and Snowden!" (!) the mass party etc which had to be penetrated: "To support the Labour Party as the rope supports a hanged man" — said Lenin.

In Spain the trots were not exist. But their attitude to it demonstrated their Ring Wing activity covered by Left Wing phrases more clearly than anything else.

Of the two trade union federations, the CNT and the UGT, the former was revolutionary, the latter reformist. The UGT entered the fascist dictatorship of Primo de Rivera in order to build up its strength against the CNT. So far from this being condoned by the trots, even at a distance, they were instrumental in persuading Western trade unions to rebuild the UGT — while never coming to denounce the CNT for entering the anti-fascist government during the civil war — a mistake, without doubt, but hardly on the same level. The trots denounced the Civil war from afar. They claimed the Spanish workers did not need arms (as POM in Spain, labelled Trotskyist by its Stalinist opponents, which hardly was able to share these pseudo-pacific views, suffered in consequence). What they needed (said Felix Morrow the Trotskyist), was "a clear cut Leninist analysis."

When it came to the fascist invasion of the countries in which the trots, at its livings, the trots put forward a different if more clear cut "Leninist analysis" (for others): fight like mad. The English trots, having got into reserved jobs, were all for it. Not, of course to save the capitalists: but for "the defence of the Soviet Union," which might include saving the capitalists.

...Notwithstanding their leftist phrases in criticism of the participation in a bourgeois government by the Spanish unions, the voting, they opposed to the non-socialist governments the movement they got the opportunity — not only as individual members in many countries (Great Britain) but as a collective party in Ceylon. There they formed an inte...