with a lever long enough and a fulcrum strong enough
the world can be moved

FOOD DESTRUCTION
Dear Sir:  

I received this pamphlet (Introducing The Socialist Party of Canada) the day of the demonstration at the Parliament Buildings during the protest against the insurance hikes and I do like to hear every side to every story. But when I read your pamphlet I felt that you were backing too many things at one time to ever succeed in whatever goal you may have started from and your talk of succeeding by democratic control is something I just don't understand. As far as Canada is concerned, from this article from the Colonist you aren't even mentioned in Who's Who, so since this is the only system we know, how do we go about changing things. I couldn't be a member because I am not a worker any more and living on my pensions provided by the society that now exists I'm not at all active in Politics except to vote but watching the methods used just this week-end to select a leader for the Conservative Party, wouldn't it be lovely if we could throw all the contending doctrines into one hat or convention and end up with just one. But then it would never be a Democracy, and you would have no chance at all because you are not a candidate, at least not in Canada. I know that there are many things that need changing, but I'm afraid it will be downhill before you succeed and perhaps I am wrong. But I do think you are backing too much, because there are too few even working people all that dissatisfied with present day conditions. There is the fringe that still exists below the decent level and perhaps there are other countries not quite so fortunate but I think you are planning for heaven itself, and people being as they are, are far from being ready for that condition.

My idea of being a Socialist was to share the health, wealth and the happiness but this is just another form of speech and no one ever expects that it will ever happen at least not in our time. So perhaps you are prepared to wait. Perhaps there will be a time for you, but I doubt that it will be speedy since you must be hostile to every other party and they just won't let you.

Well I wish you much luck.

Mrs. G. P.

REPLY

No, it would not "be lovely if we could throw all the contending doctrines into one convention and end up with just one." In countries, such as Russia, Spain, China, Chile, Cuba, where exists a situation similar to what is suggested. It is far from lovely. It is difficult to understand how anyone could consider the Conservative Convention lovely. Behind the facade of the television camera was all the ugliness of back-door party vote trading, campaign financiers and what one expects for their financiers. These certainly were no conflicting doctrines here. All the participants supported capitalism. The only conflict was, which sections of the capitalist class still get what deal. In a sense, it mirrors the total politics of capitalism and out of such a setting not only a concept of capitalism can arise.

It is understandable how some may regard the army of numerically large and financially powerful capitalist political parties as insurmountable obstacles to socialism. And it is also true that the hostility of the Socialist Party to all other political parties is nothing other than a mirror of the hostility all capitalist parties feel right now for socialism. This feeling of impotence, undoubtedly is a major factor in the apparent lack of discontent on the part of the working class. However, it should be remembered that the capitalistic class once appeared hopeless in face of the immense entrenched power of a feudal aristocracy. Neither was the revolution sufficient to change the result of great orators or leaders (although such existed on both sides). It was simply that the feudal restrictions were incompatible with the changing productive mode. Such was that the history of productivity built the stage. All that was necessary was for the modern capitalist class to step up and act out their part. Now the capitalist productive mode is building a new platform of crisis. Just as the feudal restrictions were incompatible with the new productive mode now the capitalist productive mode is more and more becoming incompatible with its distributive mode, namely, ever increasing productive potential with consumption restricted or what can be bought.

Unmistakably, the platform for a new stage in human history is being built. It awaits the working class to step up and act out its part. The enough, the working class have shown itself to be a shy reluctant and somewhat inexperienced player. This in part can be credited to the brainwashing machine of capitalism, which is the most sophisticated ever developed in the history of man. Nevertheless, the stage awaits with ever increasing problems until the act is played out for all the brainwashing in the world may not make it disappear.

Socialists are accused to backing too many things. They buck "too many things." They buck at the result of great orators or leaders (although such existed on both sides). It is understandable how some may regard the army of numerically large and financially powerful capitalist political parties as insurmountable obstacles to socialism. And it is also true that the hostility of the Socialist Party to all other political parties is nothing other than a mirror of the hostility all capitalist parties feel right now for socialism. This feeling of impotence, undoubtedly is a major factor in the apparent lack of discontent on the part of the working class. However, it should be remembered that the capitalistic class once appeared hopeless in face of the immense entrenched power of a feudal aristocracy. Neither was the revolution sufficient to change the result of great orators or leaders (although such existed on both sides). It was simply that the feudal restrictions were incompatible with the changing productive mode. Such was that the history of productivity built the stage. All that was necessary was for the modern capitalist class to step up and act out their part. Now the capitalist productive mode is building a new platform of crisis. Just as the feudal restrictions were incompatible with the new productive mode now the capitalist productive mode is more and more becoming incompatible with its distributive mode, namely, ever increasing productive potential with consumption restricted or what can be bought.

Unmistakably, the platform for a new stage in human history is being built. It awaits the working class to step up and act out its part. The enough, the working class have shown itself to be a shy reluctant and somewhat inexperienced player. This in part can be credited to the brainwashing machine of capitalism, which is the most sophisticated ever developed in the history of man. Nevertheless, the stage awaits with ever increasing problems until the act is played out for all the brainwashing in the world may not make it disappear.

Socialists are accused to backing too many things. They buck "too many things." They buck at the result of great orators or leaders (although such existed on both sides). It is understandable how some may regard the army of numerically large and financially powerful capitalist political parties as insurmountable obstacles to socialism. And it is also true that the hostility of the Socialist Party to all other political parties is nothing other than a mirror of the hostility all capitalist parties feel right now for socialism. This feeling of impotence, undoubtedly is a major factor in the apparent lack of discontent on the part of the working class. However, it should be remembered that the capitalistic class once appeared hopeless in face of the immense entrenched power of a feudal aristocracy. Neither was the revolution sufficient to change the result of great orators or leaders (although such existed on both sides). It was simply that the feudal restrictions were incompatible with the changing productive mode. Such was that the history of productivity built the stage. All that was necessary was for the modern capitalist class to step up and act out their part. Now the capitalist productive mode is building a new platform of crisis. Just as the feudal restrictions were incompatible with the new productive mode now the capitalist productive mode is more and more becoming incompatible with its distributive mode, namely, ever increasing productive potential with consumption restricted or what can be bought.

Unmistakably, the platform for a new stage in human history is being built. It awaits the working class to step up and act out its part. The enough, the working class have shown itself to be a shy reluctant and somewhat inexperienced player. This in part can be credited to the brainwashing machine of capitalism, which is the most sophisticated ever developed in the history of man. Nevertheless, the stage awaits with ever increasing problems until the act is played out for all the brainwashing in the world may not make it disappear.
Confusion of the definition of ‘worker’ etc. is common. Retirement does not take one out of the working class any more than working takes Nelson Rockefeller out of the capitalist class. Nor does one class have any bearing upon their eligibility for membership in the Socialist Party of Canada. True enough the working class must be the dominant factor in the socialist revolution. This arises from the fact that it is the working class that have an interest in their own emancipation and that because as socialist revolution, from its very nature, must be democratic combined with the pure mathematics that the working class outnumber the capitalist class ten to one. The only precondition for membership in the Socialist Party of Canada is an understanding of the socialist objective and a determination to make this socialist objective ones sole political pursuit.

Dear Comrades:

I am interested in learning the difference, as you see it, between “leftist parties” and “socialist parties”. I am especially interested in “Socialisme Mondiale” because I am looking around for a good French-language paper. I am curious about your positions on the following: the NED, nationalism and Canadianization of unions, Angola, Chinese foreign policy and the controversy in Western European CP’s over abolition of the “dictatorship of the proletariat”.

Paternally,
W.L.B., Toronto

Aside from their names, leftist parties are difficult to distinguish from rightist parties, especially while in office. All parties of the left-center-right spectrum assume the omniscience and infinity of the capital vs. wage-labor relationship, or the division of society into owners and non-owners of the means of life, and attempt to redress social wrongs within that framework. As the sentence from the ancient Anglican prayer puts it: “As it was in the beginning, is now and ever shall be” etc., static, non-changing view.

The Socialist Party of Canada, on the other hand, contends that nothing of consequence can be done by the workers for themselves while they remain as wage-slaves, that the foregoing social division, as the cause of their problems, must be removed or ended as the only possible solution. The SPC regards human society, like the rest of the observable universe, as ever changing and changeable. These are the reasons why all other parties are poles apart from the SPC in this country, and why the others are basically similar while we differ fundamentally. The SPC works for basic revolutionary change to common ownership and democratic control. The rest do not, and oppose this objective.

Most reforms to capitalism in Canada, notably those promised by the Regina Manifesto, have been brought in by the openly capitalist or “old line” parties in office. Many of those reforms had been advocated by the Liberal and Conservative Parties back as far as the turn of the century, a long time before the Regina Manifesto had been written, or the CCF-NPD formed to make liberalism and Conservative patchwork to capitalism look like “Socialism”.

Nationalism is an ideological cover for the interests of the owning class to promote the political fraud of an alleged common interest among all the people of any nation.

Canadian unions: meaning less or no control by UN or other countries’ head offices would make little difference to the effectiveness of the economic struggle of Canadian workers against the encroachments of capital. What would enhance this struggle in greater conscious participation, (hence democratic control) by workers in their unions, whether the unions be international or not. But this will not likely come before increasing working class Socialist knowledge of capitalism.

The war in Angola began as a struggle by the native Angolan entrepreneurial class for freedom from the restrictions of the former and old-fashioned type Portuguese capitalist domination. But these affairs cannot be isolated from the web of world capitalism in general, and the capitalist giants in particular, and the Angolan war soon became a contest involving the US, Russia, and France (China at first) over the rich resources of that country. Naturally, as in every war, and in line with capitalist practice both in “peace” and in war, the sordid struggle over loot is concealed by ideologies to induce tax propertists to do the working, fighting and dying.

Of course the Russian and Chinese bosses, masqueraded as usual as “Communists”, with the Chinese on the same side as the US and Russia against, Western news reports labelled the MPLA (People’s Movement for the Liberation of Angola) as “Marxist” despite the fact that Henry Kissinger said he saw no ideological difference between the three national liberation groups and that the US could have supported any one of them. Now that the MPLA is in control, the US has indicated it will co-operate, in looking after its investment interests in that country.

Nothing of consequence has or will change for the workers, except a further change in outside masters, in concert with the bee-grown ones. The role of the workers is to be roomed into expanding capital, local and international, and this keynote was exemplified by the leader of one of the former capitalist liberation movements Jonas Savimbi of UNITA. As one politician he charmed his wage-slave audience, as reported in Time, Feb. 3/76, and made pleas for hard work and long hours.
In Europe and America they work as much as possible. We too must work hard to
unite Angola.

Again the capitalist interest was disguised in nationalism. To paraphrase Marx, if a backward
nation wants to know its future, look at the advanced nations. Angolan workers have little to
look forward to until they learn about capitalism and combine with the rest of the world's victims
to end it.

Chinese foreign policy of course will reflect the trading, raw material and strategic interests of
the owner-rulers of China, the same as with any other country. All countries being capitalist,
either of the state or the private variety.

The infinite ideological battle-splitting between the various "Communist" parties reflects capita­
lism conflict between different nations in which these anti-working class parties find themselves.
The state between Russia and China for instance looks very much like that between any other two
normal capitalist nations. The reason for this is that Russia and China are two normal capitalis­
t nations. As there seems to be controversy amongst European C.F.'s over abolition of the
"dictatorship of the Proletariat", this could only mean that the "Communist" Parties in coun­
tries where civil rights prevail, and who no longer serve their former masters, feel they
can appeal to the working class electorate better if they present a program of multi-party capita­
lism democracy along with their usual reforms. However, the Russian government was never a "dic­
tatorship of the proletariat" (dictatorship of 90 per cent over the 10 per cent) but a dictator­
ship of the Bolsheviks over the workers and peasants, of Russia. Furthermore, only about 20 per
cent of the useful classes in Russia in 1917 were proletarians. Which means the Bolsheviks at
the time dictated mostly over peasants, with the aim of replacing feudalism with state capitalism,
which is what they have finally done.

The expression "dictatorship of the proletariat" was a term Marx rarely used to describe the demo­
ocratic transition if the workers achieved power for Socialism 100 years ago, during which the
means of production would be built to the extent that enough could be produced for all, and common
ownership made possible. Long since, capitalism has done this job. Productive potential in now
so great that a transition period will not be required. When the world's victims understand it
and want it and win the necessary elections, Socialism can be established immediately.

G.J.
Principle no. 7 disturbs you. However this principle is in no way born of intolerance, but rather of deep and serious study, and some sad experiences. How can a political party dedicated to the overthrow of capitalism be anything other than hostile to political parties that are dedicated to the perpetuation of capitalism? In the political arena it is not intolerance that causes you to oppose your enemy. Be assured that, though they may not express it in so many words, all capitalist parties, be they called Liberal, Social Democrat, Trotskyist, Maoist or whatever, exhibit considerable hostility towards the Socialist Party of Canada. And as to intolerance, some of them have been quite physical in their attempts to suppress free expression of Socialist ideas.

All the countries you mention, are in various stages of capitalism. There are, in fact, no socialist countries in the world.

The old Regina Manifesto of the CCF opened with a bit of Socialist window dressing. But window dressing was all it was. The rest of the Manifesto concerned itself with the reforming and running of capitalism. The NDP naturally arrived at where the Regina Manifesto was aimed. Indeed, if the founders of the CCF really wanted socialism the CCF would not have come into being. They would have simply joined the Socialist Party of Canada which had prior existence. The Waffle is just a bit more Nazi than some of the rest. Their nationalism tends to pit worker against worker for the benefit of the Capitalist class. The working class certainly have no friend in nationalization. For the capitalist class, it means security with guaranteed returns on their government bonds. For the worker the state, with all its power, becomes the capitalist. As Frederick Engels so aptly put it in SOCIALISM - UTOPIAN and SCIENTIFIC - the class struggle is in no way diminished. It is rather intensified.

The Socialist Party of Canada has no involvement in whatever Vancouver paper you wrote to. The Party journals are: FLAME and (in French) SOCIALISME MONDIAL; both printed in Victoria. The Companion Party journals, from other countries, are listed on the back cover.

"Terror is noisy. It catches the headlines. But seen in historical perspective, it has hardly ever had a lasting effect."

The Futility of Terrorism

Too often socialists are prone to say, to the effect, that "violence will not work." This is far too flatfooted and incomplete a stand to take on a subject that is so prominent today. Certainly the bombings and destruction of property that occurred in the struggles in the early history of the American labour unions made more totalitarian suppression by the state more acceptable to the rest of the population and had the effect of setting union organization back many, many years. But, on the other hand, the destruction of property was a factor in accelerating the suffragette's demands for the vote and the great destruction in the American city of Watts did result in a number of social reforms. Before the advocates of violence begin waving the flag of victory they should look at the social misery that is entrenched stronger than ever in Watts and after looking at the very little use women have made of the ballot ask whether it would have made that much difference if it had taken another 10, 20 or 50 years to achieve the franchise? Are women any less politically illiterate? Surely, today's women's pussy-cat organizations with no higher aim than equal wage slavery with men would make the Pankhursts spit up.

A more complete socialist stand on violence is that it is odds on that the most inevitable resulting setbacks by far outweigh the remote chance of some microscopic temporary gain. Certainly socialism, with its natural democracy, never has nor never can be achieved by undemocratic minority violence. It is cheery to see socialists aversion to violence substantiated in one of the finer literary bastions of capitalism — Harper's Magazine (March '76). Walter Laqueur, in an article on Terrorism, correctly analyzes that the prime target, the murdered diplomats, women and children, are not the terrorist's main objective which is the secondary target — the audience of sensational newspaper headlines. However observes Laqueur: it is not true that terrorists some
have acquired “supernatural powers” that guide our "instincts," as they himself a few dozen citizens, as in Holland, or even a dozen little circles, as in Vienna. If a mass movement has happened in Vienna on that Sunday before Christmas, long obstructions of Steif Varnum and his colleagues would have been published—and within twenty-four hours, anxious and competent men in Teheran and Caracas, in Baghdad and in Kuwait, would have explained them. Terrorists and newspapermen share the naive assumption that those whose names make the headlines have power, that getting one’s name on the front page is a major political achievement. This assumption prepares the prevailing mystical thinking on the subject of terrorism.

With the change from rural to urban industrial society the terrorist’s previous methods of organization were no longer possible. The terrorists now organize themselves into surprisingly small units. Lucaeaur emphasizes the results of this change. With the transfer of operations from the countryside to the cities, the age of the “urban guerilla” dawned. But the very term “urban guerilla” is problematical. There have been revolutions, civil wars, insurrections, and coups d’état in the cities, but hardly ever guerilla warfare. That occurs in towns only if public order has completely collapsed, if armed bands roam freely. Such a state of affairs is rare, and it never lasts longer than a few hours, at most a few days. Either the insurgents overthrow the government in a frontal assault, or they are defeated. The title “urban guerilla” is in fact a public-relations term for terrorism; terrorists usually dislike being called terrorists, preferring the more romantic guerilla image.

There are basic differences between the rural guerilla and the urban terrorist: mobility and hiding are the essence of guerilla warfare, and this is impossible in towns. It is not true that the slums (and the rich quarters) of the big cities provide equally good sanctuaries. Rural guerillas operate in large units and gradually transform themselves into battalions, regiments, and even divisions. They carry out political and social reforms in “liberated zones,” openly propagandize, and build up their organizational network. In towns, where this cannot be done, urban terrorists operate in units of three, four, or five; the whole “movement” consists of a few hundred, often only a few dozen members. This is the source of their operational strength and their political weakness. For while it is difficult to detect small groups, and while they can cause a great deal of damage, politically they are impotent. A year or two ago serious newspaper readers in the Western world used to believe that the German Baader-Meinhof group, the Japanese Red Army, the Symbionese Liberation Army, and the British Angry Brigade were mass movements that ought to be taken very seriously indeed. Their “communes” were published in the mass media; they were earnest sociological and psychological studies on the background of their members; their “ideology” was analyzed in tedious detail. Yet these were groups of between five and fifty members. Their only victories were in the area of publicity.

In exposing a lot of the mythology surrounding terrorism, Laqueur probably deals it a greater blow than all the tear gas in the world.

The current terrorist epidemic has mystified a great many people, and various explanations have been offered—most of them quite wrong. Only a few will be mentioned here.

Political terror is a new and unprecedented phenomenon. It is as old as the hills, only its manifestations of terror have changed. The present epidemic is mild compared with previous outbreaks. There were more assassinations of leading statesmen in the 1890s in both America and Europe, when terrorism had more support—than at the present time. Nor is terrorism a novelty. In 1884 Johannes Most, a German Social Democrat turned anarchist, published in New York a manual, Revolutionary (Urban) Warfare, with the subtitle “A Handbook of Instruction Regarding the Use and Manufacture of Nytroglycerine, Dynamite, Gun Cotton, Fulminating Mercury, Bombs, Arsenical Poisons, etc.” Most pioneered the idea of theetter bomb and argued that the liquidation of “pigs” was not murder because murder was the willful killing of a human being, whereas policemen did not belong in this category.

It is sometimes argued that guerrilla and terrorist movements in past ages were sporadic and essentially apolitical. But this is not so. The Russian anarchists of the last century were as organized as any contemporary movement, and their ideological and political sophistication was, if anything, higher. The same goes for the guerrilla wars of the nineteenth century.

The guerrilla literature published in Europe in the 1830s and 1840s is truly modern in almost every respect. It refers to “bases,” “liberated
areas," "protracted war" as well as the gradual transformation of guerrilla units into a regular army. The basic ideas of Mao and Castro all appeared at least a hundred years ago.

Terrorism is left-wing and revolutionary in character. Terrorists do not believe in liberty or equality or fraternity. Historically, they are elitists, contemptuous of the masses, believing in the historical mission of a tiny minority. It was said about the Tupamaros that one had to be a Ph.D. to be a member. This was an exaggeration but not by very much. Their manifestos may be phrased in left-wing language, but previous generations of terrorists proclaimed Fascist ideas. Nineteenth-century European partisans and guerrillas fighting Napoleon were certainly right-wing. The Spanish guerrilleros wanted to reintroduce the Inquisition, the Italian burned the houses of all citizens suspected of left-wing ideas. Closer to our own period, the IRA and the Macedonian IMRO at various times in their history had connections with Fascism and Communism. The ideology of terrorist movements such as the Stern gang and the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine encompasses elements of the extreme Left and Right. Slogans change with intellectual fashions and should not be taken too seriously. The real inspiration underlying terrorism is a free-floating activism that can with equal ease turn right or left. It is the action that counts.

Terrorism appears whenever people have genuine, legitimate grievances. Remove the grievance and terror will cease. The prescription seems plausible enough, but experience does not bear it out. On the level of abstract reasoning it is, of course, true that there would be no violence if no one had a grievance or felt frustration. But in practice there will always be discontented, alienated, and highly aggressive people claiming that the present state of affairs is intolerable and that only violence will bring a change. Some of their causes may even be real and legitimate—but unfulfillable. This applies to the separatist demands of minorities, which, if acceded to, would result in the emergence of nonviable states and the crippling of society. It is always the fashion to blame the state or the "system" for every existing injustice. But some of the problems may simply be insoluble, at least in the short run. No state or social system can be better than the individuals constituting it.

It is ultimately the perception of grievance that matters, not the grievance itself. At one time a major grievance may be fatalistically accepted, whereas at another time (or elsewhere) a minor grievance may produce the most violent reaction. A comparison of terrorist activities over the last century shows, beyond any shadow of doubt, that violent protest movements do not appear where despotism is worst but, on the contrary, in permissive democratic societies or ineffective authoritarian regimes. There were no terrorist movements in Nazi Germany, nor in Fascist Italy, nor in any of the Communist countries. The Kurdish insurgents were defeated by the Iraqi government in early 1975 with the greatest of ease, whereas terrorism in Ulster continues for many years now and the end is not in sight. The Iraqis succeeded not because they satisfied the grievances of the Kurds but simply because they could not care less about public opinion abroad.

Terror is highly effective. Terror is noisy, it catches the headlines. Its melodrama inspires horror and fascination. But seen in historical perspective, it has hardly ever had a lasting effect. Guerrilla wars have been successful only against colonial rule, and the age of colonialism is over. Terrorism did have a limited effect at a time of general war, but only in one instance (Cuba) has a guerrilla movement prevailed in peacetime. But the constellation in Cuba was unique and, contrary to Castro's expectations, there were no repeat performances elsewhere in Latin America. The Vietnam war in its decisive phase was no longer guerrilla in character. There is no known case in modern history of a terrorist movement seizing political power, although terror has been used on the tactical level by radical political parties. Society will tolerate terrorism as long as it is no more than a nuisance. Once insecurity spreads and terror becomes a real danger, the authorities are no longer blamed for disregarding human rights in their struggle against it. On the contrary, the cry goes up for more repressive measures, irrespective of the price that has to be paid in human rights. The state is always so much stronger than the terrorists, whose only hope for success is to prevent the authorities from using their full powers. If the terrorist is the fish—following Mao Tse-tung's parable—the permissiveness and the inefficiency of liberal society is the water. As Regis Debray, apostle of the Latin-American guerrillas, wrote about the Tupamaros: "By digging the grave of lib
eral Uruguay they dug their own grave."

The importance of terrorism will grow enormously in the years to come as the destructive power of its weapons increases. This danger does indeed exist, with the increasing availability of missiles, nuclear material, and highly effective poisons. But it is part of a wider problem, that of individuals blackmauling society. To engage in nuclear ransom, a "terrorist movement" is not needed; a small group of madmen or criminals, or just one person, could be equally effective—perhaps even more so. The smaller the group, the more difficult it would be to identify and combat.

Political terrorists are more intelligent and less cruel than "ordinary" criminals. Most political terrorists in modern times have been of middle- or upper-class origin, and many of them have had a higher education. Nevertheless, they have rarely shown intelligence, let alone political sophistication. Larger issues and future perspectives are of little interest to them, and they are quite easily manipulated by foreign intelligence services. As for cruelty, the "ordinary" criminal, unlike the terrorist, does not believe in indiscriminate killing. He may torture a victim, but this will be the exception, not the rule, for he is motivated by material gain and not by fanaticism. The motivation of the political terrorist is altogether different. Since, in his eyes, everyone but himself is guilty, restraints do not exist.

Political terror therefore tends to be less humane than the variety practiced by "ordinary" criminals. The Palestinian terrorists have specialized in killing children, while the Provisional IRA has concentrated its attacks against Protestant workers, and this despite their professions of "proletarian internationalism." It is the terrorists' aim not just to kill their opponents but to spread confusion and fear. It is part of the terrorist indoctrination to kill the humanity of the terrorist—all this, of course, for a more humane and just world order.

Terrorists are poor, hungry, and desperate human beings. Terrorist groups without powerful protectors are indeed poor. But modern transnational terrorism is, more often than not, big business. According to a spokesman of the Palestine "Rejection Front" in an interview with the Madrid newspaper _Platforma_, the income of the PLO is as great as that of certain Arab countries, such as Jordan, with payments by the oil countries on the order of $150 million to $200 million. Officials of the organizations are paid $5,000 a month and more, and everyone gets a car as a matter of course; they have acquired chalets and bank accounts in Switzerland. But the "Rejection Front," financed by Iraq, Libya, and Algeria is not kept on a starvation diet either. The Argentine ERP and the Montoneros have amassed millions of dollars through bank robberies and extortion. Various Middle Eastern and East European governments give millions to terrorist movements from Ustur to the Philippines. The abundance of funds makes it possible to engage in all kinds of costly operations, to bribe officials, and to purchase sophisticated weapons. At the same time, the surefire of money breeds corruption. The terrorists are no longer lean and hungry after prolonged exposure to life in Hilton hotels. They are still capable of carrying out gangster-style operations of short duration, but they become useless for long campaigns involving hardship and privation.

Laqueur is not consistent in his aversion to terrorism. He feels it is sometimes justified.

All this is not to say that political terror is always reprehensible or could never be effective. The assassination of Hitler or Stalin in the 1920s or 1930s would not only have changed the course of history; it would have saved the lives of millions of people. Terrorism is morally justified whenever there is no other remedy for an intolerable situation. Yet it seldom occurs, and virtually never succeeds, where tyranny is harshest.

It is difficult to understand how Laqueur could be so unscientific on this point. He realizes that a dozen murdered oil ministers would be replaced "within twenty-four hours." How is it then that he could not comprehend that with equal speed a Gobels or Goering would replace Hitler or a Stalin could be replaced by an equally villainous Trotsky or a score of others run from the same mold.

Laqueur goes on to appeal to newspaper people, politicians and social workers not to give the desired exposure and thus involuntary help to terrorists. That may happen. But the important lesson here, for the working class, is to learn the extreme limitations and ultimate frustrations and futility of terrorism. Devoid, though it may be, from excitement and headlines, the socialists' method of education and organization towards a majority movement is not just the best way to achieve social democracy. IT IS THE ONLY WAY.

Larry Ticker
A strange contradiction exists between the idea that attempts are being made to produce food for all, on the one hand, and the fact of widespread food destruction on the other hand, along with charity appeals to feed starving people. The latest local example is the dumping of nearly a thousand tons of herring into deep pits by Oakland Industries fish processors. An attempt was made to keep this dumping operation secret, but a Socialist Party of Canada member, living near the area of the pits, cooperated to let the story out.

The destroyed herring resulted from the extraction of roe, which is processed into caviar by the Japanese. The fish are treated with brine, which stiffens their bodies, thereby cheapening the process of popping out the roe and sweetening the taste of the resultant profits. Ridding the carcasses of the brine increases the processing cost so that profits are too low or eliminated entirely and the rest of the fish is not available for human or animal consumption. In other words, the brine treatment increases profit via a small amount of gourmet delicacy but, by so doing, it destroys a large amount of protein, vitamin and mineral source, on which human labor has already been expended. This is a small example of how capitalism wastes and destroys to operate normally.

A spokesman for the industry said that of the 87,000 tons expected catch on the Canadian west coast this year, only 15 per cent was for human consumption. He said, "It was a shocking situation that thousands of tons of herring were caught each year just to extract the roe." Such righteous indignation, but oh, just love those profits — and the profit system.

More Examples -

The durability of the myth that world capitalism, state and private, tries to accommodate all the people, in the face of massive food destruction and restrictions on production, is amazing. Thousands of tons of fruit fall to the ground and rot off trees in back yards and vacant lots in the Greater Victoria area alone. Local produce farmers have destroyed unmarketable potatoes. Eastern Canadian farmers have destroyed vegetables and beef calves to protect high livestock prices, or in silent resignation.

In the late 60's and early 70's, Canadian farmers were ordered by the government to cut back on grain production and to enforce the order the farmer of the capitalist class hired an employee to monitor the farmer's fields to ensure that they all obeyed. Twenty-eight million eggs were destroyed by the government in 1974; because they could not be sold. Recently, tons of spoiled milk that got a little too old were supposed to animal feed. The liberal-left and conservative right in the mass media were shocked and told the workers what a terrible shame this was. Canadian farmland is being lost at the rate of 1,000 acres a year. Farmers are abandoning their occupation at the rate of 13,000 per year, because it no longer pays. (Maclean's Feb/75)

FOOD DESTRUCTION
The capitalist world is assured in commodities, goods and services to be sold at a profit, including the commodity known as the human being to labor from which all these values and surpluses values come. Capitalist production is completely unsanctioned about people outside of and not recognized by its economic process of profit making.

A report in the "Free Press on Farming", March 31/75, emphasized the farmer opposition to the idea of a stockpile of food for world "emergencies". The writer went on to say about grain:

"All it takes is a simple across country to figure out that any times a buyer knows that there is a ready supply standing by, he is not going to bid as high as he otherwise would.

When food is produced for profits, profits win every time. In the farm magazine, "WIZARD CRICKET", April 1/76, an alarming headline announced: "World Food Giant Causing Lower Prices, in U.S. This was not a great April Fool's joke. Capitalism may be considered a disease. Researchers estimate 41,000 children die from the combined effects of malnutrition and infection every day. (Northwest, Daily Colonel, March 26/75) "This

The United Press London reported that Jacqueline Kennedy Onassis wants her daughter to come home out of the range of Irish terrorist bombs. But Caroline is "staying put" to be near her 28-year-old boyfriend, an English art dealer whose uncle is a wealthy lord, Lord Ashburne to be exact. The report said:

"The Ashburne's built Belgravia, one of London's most fashionable neighborhoods. It must have been quite a sight. That handful of capitalists, with sleeves rolled up, sweating in the kitchen, strainingly drawing the plans, pouring the cement, laying the bricks and doing all the other construction work that must have been necessary to build Belgravia, -- a spectacle that the populace will never forget. And just as unusual as the myth that those at the top of the pyramid produce their fantastic riches themselves.

Much closer to earth was another report in 1971 ("Victoria, Daily Colonist, March 14") that Henry Ford II flew into London with harsh words about Britain's labor troubles. He went straight to Prince Woolf of course, who was at the time the reigning reg of the minority of the Fordists, to get something done about a six-week strike in the Ford auto plants, which has lost 52,4 million in wages for 50,000 workers and 4-92-4 million worth of new autos for a few Ashburne shareholders. Not knowing the value of constant capital used in this period, it still looks like a good rate of exploitation for Ford and his fellow shareholders. Too bad the system is not perfect. If only the workers didn't have to be conditioned, threatened and cajoled into doing it. And if only they didn't have occasionally, how much nicer it would be for the dictatorship.

In the meantime, Caroline Kennedy Onassis has been on the move. In addition to her boyfriend of the Ashburne's, she has searched for and found an opportunity to meet Prince Charles. His mother is also a mover. After receiving this joyous news, she rushed off to Paris and splurged 820,000 on 36 new outfits from the top couturier of that city. (Midnight, Sept. 17/75). The work is safe for the parasites for awhile.

POSTSCRIPT

Latest evidence that world capitalism cannot shake its devotion to the profit motive is revealed in a report to the Guatemalan "quake" supplied by Nancy Gibson, regional project co-ordinator of the OEFAM relief agency. Visiting Victoria, B.C. on a fund raising tour to help the poor to help the rich, she said:

"The Guatemalan government has asked food deliveries be stopped because the Indians are depressing local markets. (Victoria, March 24/75).

Now that the "emergency" is over those paternal and workers, who figure in the scheme of capital.
Another hangover from the Russian bourgeoisie revolution. After Lenin's death, four ambitious leaders contended for the privilege of preying over the exploitation of the Russian workers. The ruthless elimination process left Joe Stalin and Leon Trotsky, and later only Stalin, but not before Trotsky in exile, had established the "Fourth International" of Trotskyist parties in various western countries. The main Trotskyist party in Canada is now known as the League for Socialist Action. As a latter-day splinter of the organization that oversaw the social division which superceded Russian feudalism, the Canadian Trotskyists hope on the theory of understanding capitalism. In their program class ownership of the means of life is to remain.

Having a grievance against Stalin, as the Machists do, the Trotskyists and Leninists too. Their pro-capitalist limitations are determined by the historical tie that binds them. Surrounded, as he was, by the pressures of an impending capitalism and the need to falsify Marxism, Lenin said, "The working class, exclusively by its own efforts, is able to develop only trade union consciousness. (What is to be done?) And, "If Socialism can only be realized when the intellectual development of all the people permits it, then we shall not see Socialism for 500 years." (Reported by John Reed). What he needed was a blindly obedient and politically ignorant working class. As a Leninist, Trotsky thought similarly, as he does the leaders of his surviving party. Being regarded as mentally inferior, the workers are to be told what is best for them by leaders who are for positions of office and wealth, as managers of the existing imperialist society, but with a redistribution of inequality in mind - as with other leftist parties. They aim for what they call a 'workers state', and nationalization of some industries, which leaves capitalism, and consequently its ruling class of owners of the means of life untouched. Calling for a 'workers state' is in no different from calling for what prevails now, e.g. a capitalist state, because the one class cannot prevail without its counterpart. The existence of one in the condition for the existence of the other. Trotskyists use the expression 'worker' in today a sense of a member of a separate class, and so much he becomes wage for producing surplus value which belongs exclusively to the employing class. The disappearance of worker's state in remnant of the pre-capitalist peasantry of Alende's Chile being told that these successors of a worker's state is reminiscent of the prevalent peasantry of Alende's Chile being told that these successors of the peasant are in remnant of the peasant; that the workers' state is in remnant of the peasant; that the workers' state is in remnant of the peasant, that the peasants are in remnant of the capitalist values and that the workers are loyal to capitalist values and that the revolutionaries of the Communist Party have to keep the ideological ring in the name of the followers. Something like the occupational
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The political ignorance and opportunism of the SD is open here for all who desire to see it. The SD is willing to support the false conviction of hundreds of thousands of workers that the SD is independent of big business and will fight for their cause. In spite of the fact that the SD knows that the SDP's program:

"promises only reforms within the capitalist system".

... What political convictions those actors will endure in the interests of their selfish trade. The SDP are more opposed to big business than it is to big business's little brother. Thousands of workers have elected the SDP's posture against high profits by big business as being opposition to capitalism.

An understanding of the economics of the system would show this to be false. Many profits are always the highest that competitiveness will allow business to earn. Whether it is big or small business. And hundreds of workers have been misled by the Trotskyists' false aim of "rule by labor" not capital as being opposition to capitalism. Both parties use the workers' manipulation to good effect. In the Trotskyists' version, the working class is to become the new "ruling class". Since both the SDP and the SD regard capitalism's economic foundation of wages, prices, profit, the state armed forces, etc., as being the natural order of things, it means therefore that in the SDP's new society the capitalist class (which by its social nature as a ruling class) will still endure. Nothing basic will have changed, except that maybe the dominant section of society will pull the strings from just a little farther in the background than at present.

Fifty-eight years after Lenin's death and formerly mobilized power from a temporary bourgeois dynasty to create a bourgeois dictatorship - these "Marxist" and "Socialist" frauds are still at it.

The SDP and the Trotskyists, "Communists" and "Marxists" are factories of working class disillusionment for individuals who suspect capitalism as the source of workers' social problems and want to act against the system. If some of these workers manage to discover that these "Marxist" programs are merely the old-fashioned, thousand-year-old slogans, without a single exception, they will have achieved an important accomplishment, and will avoid a lifetime of political hollowness. Due to our own very few historical mistakes, the capitalists of the earth could not have invented a better friend than they now have in the left.
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DECLARATION OF PRINCIPLES

The Companion Parties of Socialism hold:

1. That society as at present constituted is based upon ownership of the means of living (i.e., land, houses, railways, etc.) by the capitalist or master class, and consequently enslavement of the working class, by whose labor alone wealth is produced.

2. That in society, therefore, there is an antagonism of interests, manifesting itself as a class struggle between those who possess but do not produce, and those who produce but do not possess.

3. That this antagonism can be abolished only by emancipation of the working class from the dominion of the master class by the conversion into the common property of society of the means of production in distribution, and their democratic control by the people.

4. That as in the order of social evolution the working class as the last class to achieve its freedom, the emancipation of the working class will involve the emancipation of a mankind, without distinction of race or sex.

5. That this emancipation must be the work of the working class itself.

6. That as the machinery of government, including the armed forces of the nation, exists only to conserve the means, by the capitalist class of the wealth taken from the people, the working class must organize consciously and politically for the conquest of the powers of government, in order to this machinery, including these forces, may be drawn from an instrument of oppression into the agency of emancipation and overthrow of priestocratic privileges.

7. That as political parties are but the expression of the interests, and as the interest of the working class is diametrically opposed to the interest of all sections of the master class, the party seeking working class emancipation must be hostile to every other party.

8. THE COMPANION PARTIES OF SOCIALISM, therefore, enter the field of political action determined to wage against all other political parties, whether alleged leaders of the working class, or of the capitalist, and call upon all members of the working class of these countries to contribute their prayers to the end that a termination may be brought to the state of society which deprives them of the fruits of their labor, and in poverty may give place to comfort, privilege to equal rights, and slavery to freedom.

These seven agreements with the above principles, and desiring each merit to the Party should apply for Application for Membership from the nearest of the nearest local or the National Office.

These seven agreements are the same in the District.
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